

## COURSE MODULE OUTLINE

### (1) General Information

<b>SCHOOL</b>	Human Sciences		
<b>PROGRAM COURSE</b>	Science Communication		
<b>LEVEL OF STUDY</b>	M.Sc.		
<b>COURSE UNIT CODE</b>	<b>EEP12</b>	<b>Semester</b>	<b>1st</b>
<b>COURSE TITLE</b>	Philosophy of Science		
<b>INDEPENDENT TEACHING ACTIVITIES</b> <i>in case credits are awarded for separate components/parts of the course, e.g. in lectures, laboratory exercises, etc. If credits are awarded for the entire course, give the weekly teaching hours and the total credits</i>		<b>Workload for students</b>	<b>CREDITS</b>
Workload for students: 21-23 hours x 13 weeks		<b>280-300</b>	<b>10 ECTS</b>
<i>Add rows if necessary. The organization of teaching and the teaching methods used are described in detail under section 4</i>			
<b>COURSE TYPE</b> Compulsory, Optional, Optional mandatory	Compulsory		
<b>PREREQUISITE COURSES:</b>	No prerequisite courses		
<b>LANGUAGE OF INSTRUCTION AND EXAMS:</b>	Greek		
<b>THE COURSE IS OFFERED TO ERASMUS STUDENTS</b>	No		
<b>COURSE WEBSITE (URL)</b>	<a href="https://www.eap.gr/en/science-communication/topics/#e12">https://www.eap.gr/en/science-communication/topics/#e12</a>  Each module has its own space in the Learning Management System of EAP ( <a href="https://courses.eap.gr/login/index.php">https://courses.eap.gr/login/index.php</a> ), with controlled access (use of code) for students and teaching staff.		

## (2) LEARNING OUTCOMES

### **Learning Outcomes**

*The course learning outcomes, specific knowledge, skills and competences of an appropriate (certain) level, which students will acquire upon successful completion of the course, are described in detail. It is necessary to consult:*

#### **APPENDIX A**

- *Description of the level of learning outcomes for each level of study, in accordance with the European Higher Education Qualifications' Framework.*
- *Descriptive indicators for Levels 6, 7 & 8 of the European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning and*

#### **APPENDIX B**

- *Guidelines for writing Learning Outcomes*

Upon successful completion of the EEP12, the student is expected to:  
 Analyze and present controversial issues of science and technology to the public.  
 Decode scientific controversies and document the views of the parties involved.  
 Identify and present the anthropological, class and gender dimension of the truth claims of the dominant model of technoscience.  
 Assess the ethical dimension of critical scientific and technological decisions.  
 Participate in scientific and technological policy formulation processes.

### **General Competences**

*Taking into consideration the general competences that students/graduates must acquire (as those are described in the Diploma Supplement and are mentioned below), at which of the following does the course attendance aim?*

<i>Search for, analysis and synthesis of data and information by the use of appropriate technologies,</i>	<i>Project planning and management</i>
<i>Adapting to new situations</i>	<i>Respect for diversity and multiculturalism</i>
<i>Decision-making</i>	<i>Environmental awareness</i>
<i>Individual/Independent work</i>	<i>Social, professional and ethical responsibility and sensitivity to gender issues</i>
<i>Group/Team work</i>	<i>Critical thinking</i>
<i>Working in an international environment</i>	<i>Development of free, creative and inductive thinking</i>
<i>Working in an interdisciplinary environment (Other.....citizenship, spiritual freedom, social</i>	<i>.....</i>
<i>Introduction of innovative research</i>	<i>awareness, altruism etc.) .....</i>

- Adaptation to new situations
- Decision making
- Autonomous work
- Teamwork
- Work in an international environment
- Work in an interdisciplinary environment
- Generation of new research ideas
- Project planning and management
- Respect for diversity and multiculturalism
- Respect for the natural environment
- Demonstrate social, professional and ethical responsibility and sensitivity to gender issues
- Exercise criticism and self-criticism
- Promotion of free, creative and inductive thinking

## (3) COURSE CONTENT

The beginnings of the modern philosophy of science: The importance of experience.  
 The use of the Reason. The Kantian synthesis  
 The philosophy of science in the 19th and early 20th centuries. Logical empiricism, verification and

validation. Popper and refutation.  
 The historicist turn and theories of scientific change  
 Sociological and anthropological approaches to the problem of scientific knowledge.  
 Social constructivism and actor-network theory

#### (4) TEACHING METHODS--ASSESSMENT

<b>MODES OF DELIVERY</b> <i>Face-to-face, in-class lecturing, distance teaching and distance learning etc.</i>	Distance learning, complemented by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 Group Feedback Meetings</li> <li>• Personal communication and feedback, when asked for by students.</li> </ul>	
	Remote meetings tools (webex) and presentation software (e.g., PowerPoint). Additionally, students use office automation tools, web browsers, and e-readers for digital books.	
<b>COURSE DESIGN</b> <i>Description of teaching techniques, practices and methods: Lectures, seminars, laboratory practice, fieldwork, study and analysis of bibliography, tutorials, Internship, Art Workshop, Interactive teaching, Educational visits, projects, Essay writing, Artistic creativity, etc.</i>  <i>The study hours for each learning activity as well as the hours of selfdirected study are given following the principles of the ECTS.</i>	<b>Activity/Method</b>	<b>Semester workload</b>
	Personal Study (12-13 hours x 13 educational weeks)	<b>149-169</b>
	2 activities (2 x 30 hours)	<b>60</b>
	3 Group Feedback Meetings (3 x 4 hours)	<b>12</b>
	1 semester essay	<b>54</b>
	Written exams	<b>5</b>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>280-300</b>

<p><b>STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION/ASSESSMENT METHODS</b></p> <p><i>Detailed description of the evaluation procedures:</i></p> <p><i>Language of evaluation, assessment methods, formative or summative (conclusive), multiple choice tests, short- answer questions, open-ended questions, problem solving, written work, essay/report, oral exam, presentation, laboratory work, other.....etc.</i></p> <p><i>Specifically defined evaluation criteria are stated, as well as if and where they are accessible by the students.</i></p>	<p>Elaboration of written assignments during the academic semester with a weighting factor in the formation of the final grade by 40%. Final written exams, the grade of which participates in forming the final grade by 60%. For further information go to the H.O.U. Study Guide: <a href="https://www.eap.gr/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/kanonismos-spoudwn-isxys-apo-to-didaktiko-etos-2022-2023.pdf">https://www.eap.gr/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/kanonismos-spoudwn-isxys-apo-to-didaktiko-etos-2022-2023.pdf</a></p>
---	--

#### (5) SUGGESTED BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- Alessio, F. (2012), Ιστορία της Νεότερης Φιλοσοφίας, μετάφραση Θ. Θυμιοπούλου, Αθήνα: Τραυλός.
- Besnier, J-M. (2001), Ιστορία της νεωτερικής και σύγχρονης φιλοσοφίας. Φυσιολογίες και έργα, μετάφραση Κ. Παπαγιώργης, Αθήνα: Καστανιώτης.
  - Brown, H.I. (1993), Αντίληψη, Θεωρία, Δέσμευση, μετάφραση Α. Λευιτικός, Ε. Μαχαίρα, Δ. Παπαγιαννάκος και Χ. Συμσάρης, Ηράκλειο: Πανεπιστημιακές Εκδόσεις Κρήτης.
  - Chalmers, A. F. (1996), Τι είναι αυτό που το λέμε Επιστήμη;, μετάφραση Γ. Φουρτούνης, ε-πιμέλεια Α. Μπαλάς, Ηράκλειο: Πανεπιστημιακές Εκδόσεις Κρήτης.
  - Cottingham, J. (2003), Φιλοσοφία της Επιστήμης Α': Οι ορθολογιστές, μετάφραση Σ. Τσούρ-τη, Αθήνα: Πολύτροπον.
  - Cushing, J. (2003), Φιλοσοφικές Έννοιες στη Φυσική, μετάφραση Μ. Ορφανού, Σ. Γιαννέ-λης, Αθήνα: Leader Books
  - Feyerabend, P. (1982), Ενώτια στη Μέθοδο, μετάφραση Γρ. Καυκαλάς, Γ. Γκουνταρούλης, Θεσσαλονίκη: Σύγχρονα Θέματα
  - Feyerabend, P. (2002), Αποχαιρετισμός στο λόγο, μετάφραση Π. Μπουρλάκης, Αθήνα: Εκ-κρεμές.
  - Hanson, N.R. (2002), Πρότυπα ανακάλυψης, μετάφραση Γ. Παρασκευόπουλος, Δ. Παπα-γιαννάκος, Β. Κιντή, Ηράκλειο: Πανεπιστημιακές εκδόσεις Κρήτης.
  - Kenny, A. (επιμ.) (2005), Ιστορία της δυτικής φιλοσοφίας, μετάφραση Δέσποινα Ρισσάκη, Αθήνα: Νεφέλη.

- Kraft, V. (1986), Ο κύκλος της Βιέννης και η γένεση του νεοθετικισμού, μετάφραση Γ. Μανά-  
Kuhn, T.S. (1987), Η δομή των επιστημονικών επαναστάσεων, εισαγωγή-επιμέλεια Β. Κάλ-φας,  
μετάφραση Γ. Γεωργακόπουλος, Β. Κάλφας, Θεσσαλονίκη: Σύγχρονα Θέματα.
- Ladyman J. (2015 [2002]), Τι είναι η φιλοσοφία της επιστήμης, μετάφραση Γιώργος Μαρα-γκός,  
Ηράκλειο: Πανεπιστημιακές Εκδόσεις Κρήτης.
- Lakatos, I. (1986), Μεθοδολογία των Προγραμμάτων Επιστημονικής Έρευνας, μετάφραση Αιμ.  
Μεταξόπουλος, Θεσσαλονίκη: Σύγχρονα Θέματα.
- Salmon, et al. (1998), Εισαγωγή στη Φιλοσοφία της Επιστήμης, μετάφραση Π. Θεοδώρου, Κ.  
Παγωνδιώτης, Γ. Φουρτούνης, Ηράκλειο: Πανεπιστημιακές Εκδόσεις Κρήτης.
- Sismondo, S. (2016 [2010]) Εισαγωγή στις Σπουδές Επιστήμης και Τεχνολογίας, μετάφραση  
Βαρβάρα Σπυροπούλου, επιμέλεια Μανώλης Πατηνιώτης, Αθήνα: Liberal Books.
- Woolgar, S. (2003), Επιστήμη: Η ιδέα καθ' αυτήν, μετάφραση Δ. Παπαγιαννάκος, επιμέλεια Μ.  
Ασημακόπουλος, Αθήνα : Κάτοπτρο .
- Woolhouse, R.S. (2004), Φιλοσοφία της Επιστήμης Β': Οι Εμπειριστές, μετάφραση Σ. Τσούρτη,  
Αθήνα: Πολύτροπον.
- Αυγελής, Ν. (2010) Εισαγωγή στη Φιλοσοφία της Επιστήμης, Θεσσαλονίκη: Σταμούλης.
- Μπαλτάς, Α., Στεργιόπουλος, Κ. (2013), Φιλοσοφία και Επιστήμες στον εικοστό αιώνα, Ηρά-κλειο:  
Πανεπιστημιακές Εκδόσεις Κρήτης.